

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

5 Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 14 were originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu '449.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

 Claim 10 was originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Hu '148.

10 However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 5, 6, 8 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

 Responsive to this, claim 5 is deleted, and claim 1 is amended which is
15 substantially the combination of original claims 1 and 5 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior art references cited by the Examiner.

 In addition, claims 8 is deleted, and claim 7 is amended to form an independent claim which is substantially the combination of original claims 1, 7 and
20 8 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior art references cited by the Examiner.

In addition, claim 12 is amended to form an independent claim which is substantially the combination of original claims 1 and 12 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior art references cited by the Examiner.

5 Accordingly, by the amendments, it is believed that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) should be withdrawn, and the claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9-14 should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully
10 requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Yu Tang Chen

Applicant

Yu Tang Chen